

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND RESEARCHES

FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FOUR METRIC SPACES

Neerja Namdeo^{*1} & Dr. U.K. Shrivastava²

^{*1}Assistant Professor, Govt. Dau Kalyan Arts and Commerce Postgraduate College, BalodaBazar
Distt – Baloda Bazar (C.G.), India

²Professor, Govt. E. R. P. G. College, Bilaspur, Distt - Bilaspur(C.G.), India

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to obtain some fixed point theorems for four metric spaces which is a generalization of the results of Jain, Sahu and Fisher[2] and the result of Nung[1] for three metric spaces.

Keywords: Cauchy Sequences, complete metric space, fixed points.

I. INTRODUCTION

Definition 1.1 - Let X be a non empty set and a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is satisfies following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (M₁) $d(x, y) \geq 0$, (non negativity),
- (M₂) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, (identity),
- (M₃) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, (symmetry),
- (M₄) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, (triangle inequality).

Then d is said to be *metric* on X , or in other words pair (X, d) is called *metric space*.

Definition 1.2 - Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in metric space (X, d) is said to be:

- (i) *Converge* to x , if any $\varepsilon > 0$, $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq m$, there exist $m \in \mathbf{N}$ depending upon ε .
- (ii) *Cauchy sequence*, if any $\varepsilon > 0$, $d(x_{n+p}, x_n) < \varepsilon$, $p > 0$, $n \geq m$, there exist $m \in \mathbf{N}$ depending upon ε .

Definition 1.3 - A metric space (X, d) is said to be *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

The following fixed point theorems were proved by Jain, Sahu and Fisher[2] and Nung[1].

Theorem A[2] Let (X, d) , (Y, ρ) and (Z, σ) be complete metric spaces. If T is a continuous mapping of X into Y , S is a continuous mapping of Y into Z and R is a continuous mapping of Z into X satisfying the inequalities:

- (i) $d(RSTx, RSTx') \leq c \max \{d(x, x'), d(x, RSTx), d(x', RSTx'), \rho(Tx, Tx'), \sigma(STx, STx')\}$,
- (ii) $\rho(TRSy, TRSy') \leq c \max \{ \rho(y, y'), \rho(y, TRSy), \rho(y', TRSy'), \sigma(Sy, Sy'), d(RSy, RSy') \}$,
- (iii) $\sigma(STRz, STRz') \leq c \max \{ \sigma(z, z'), \sigma(z, STRz), \sigma(z', STRz'), d(Rz, Rz'), \rho(TRz, TRz') \}$,

for all $x, x' \in X$, $y, y' \in Y$ and $z, z' \in Z$ where $0 \leq c < 1$, then RST has a unique fixed point u in X , TRS has a unique fixed point v in Y and STR has a unique fixed point w in Z . Further $Tu = v$, $Sv = w$ and $Rw = u$.

Theorem B[1] Let (X, d) , (Y, ρ) and (Z, σ) be complete metric spaces. If T is a continuous mapping of X into Y , S is a continuous mapping of Y into Z and R is a continuous mapping of Z into X satisfying the inequalities

- (i) $d(RSTx, RSy) \leq c \max \{d(x, RSy), d(x, RSTx), \rho(y, Tx), \sigma(Sy, STx)\}$,
- (ii) $\rho(TRSy, TRz) \leq c \max \{ \rho(y, TRz), \rho(y, TRSy), \sigma(z, Sy), d(Rz, RSy) \}$,
- (iii) $\sigma(STRz, STx) \leq c \max \{ \sigma(z, STx), \sigma(z, STRz), d(x, Rz), \rho(Tx, TRz) \}$,

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$ where $0 \leq c < 1$, then RST has a unique fixed point u in X , TRS has a unique fixed point v in Y and STR has a unique fixed point w in Z . Further, $Tu = v$, $Sv = w$ and $Rw = u$.

II. MAIN RESULTS

We want to prove the following results which are related to fixed point theorems of Theorem A and Theorem B respectively.

Theorem 2.1 - Let (X, d) , (Y, ρ) , (Z, σ) and (W, δ) be four complete metric spaces. If four continuous mappings $T: X \rightarrow Y$, $R: Y \rightarrow Z$, $S: Z \rightarrow W$ and $U: W \rightarrow X$ satisfying the inequalities:

- (i) $d(USRTx, USRTx') \leq c \max \{d(x, x'), d(x, USRTx), d(x', USRTx'), \rho(Tx, Tx'), \sigma(RTx, RTx'), \delta(SRTx, SRTx')\}$,
- (ii) $\rho(TUSRy, TUSRy') \leq c \max \{\rho(y, y'), \rho(y, TUSRy), \rho(y', TUSRy'), \sigma(Ry, Ry'), \delta(SRy, SRy'), d(USRy, USRy')\}$,
- (iii) $\sigma(RTUSz, RTUSz') \leq c \max \{\sigma(z, z'), \sigma(z, RTUSz), \sigma(z', RTUSz'), \delta(Sz, Sz'), d(USz, USz'), \rho(TUSz, TUSz')\}$,
- (iv) $\delta(SRTUw, SRTUw') \leq c \max \{\delta(w, w'), \delta(w, SRTUw), \delta(w', SRTUw'), d(Uw, Uw'), \rho(TUw, TUw'), \sigma((RTUw, RTUw'))\}$.

for all $x, x' \in X$, $y, y' \in Y$, $z, z' \in Z$ and $w, w' \in W$, where $0 \leq c < 1$. Then $USRT$, $TUSR$, $RTUS$ and $SRTU$ has unique fixed points h, k, p and q in X, Y, Z and W respectively. Further, $Th = k, Rk = p, Sp = q$ and $Uq = h$.

Proof – Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and suppose that the sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ in X, Y, Z and W respectively by

$$x_n = (USRT)^n x_0, y_n = Tx_{n-1}, z_n = Ry_n, \text{ and } w_n = Sz_n \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1)$$

Now using the inequality (i), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(USRTx_{n-1}, USRTx_n) \\ &\leq c \max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, USRTx_{n-1}), d(x_n, USRTx_n), \rho(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), \sigma(RTx_{n-1}, RTx_n), \\ &\quad \delta(SRTx_{n-1}, SRTx_n)\} \\ &= c \max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), \sigma(z_n, z_{n+1}), \delta(w_n, w_{n+1})\} \quad \text{[from (1)]} \\ &= c \max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n), \delta(w_{n-1}, w_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now applying inequality (ii), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} \quad \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= \rho(TUSRy_{n-1}, TUSRy_n) \\ &\leq c \max \{\rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, TUSRy_{n-1}), \rho(y_n, TUSRy_n), \sigma(Ry_{n-1}, Ry_n), \delta(SRy_{n-1}, SRy_n), d(USRy_{n-1}, USRy_n)\} \\ &= c \max \{\rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), \sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n), \delta(w_{n-1}, w_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \quad \text{[from (1)]} \\ &= c \max \{\rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n), \delta(w_{n-1}, w_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

And similarly by (iii) and (iv), we can write

$$\text{(c)} \quad \sigma(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq c \max \{\sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n), \delta(w_{n-1}, w_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n)\},$$

$$\text{(d)} \quad \delta(w_n, w_{n+1}) \leq c \max \{\delta(w_{n-1}, w_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n)\}$$

Now by induction the inequalities (a), (b), (c) and (d) are becomes as :

When $0 \leq c < 1$, we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq c^{n-1} \max \{d(x_1, x_2), \rho(y_1, y_2), \sigma(z_1, z_2), \delta(w_1, w_2)\},$$

$$\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq c^{n-1} \max \{\rho(y_1, y_2), \sigma(z_1, z_2), \delta(w_1, w_2), d(x_1, x_2)\},$$

$$\sigma(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq c^{n-1} \max \{\sigma(z_1, z_2), \delta(w_1, w_2), d(x_1, x_2), \rho(y_1, y_2)\},$$

$$\delta(w_n, w_{n+1}) \leq c^{n-1} \max \{\delta(w_1, w_2), d(x_1, x_2), \rho(y_1, y_2), \sigma(z_1, z_2)\}.$$

It follows that the sequences are $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences with limits h, k, p and q respectively. We know that T, R and S are continuous, therefore we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n-1} = Th = k,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ry_n = Rk = p,$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sz_n = Sp = q.$

Now taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $0 \leq c < 1$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\text{USRTh}, x_n) &= d(\text{USRTh}, \text{USRT}x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq c \max \{d(h, x_{n-1}), d(h, \text{USRTh}), d(x_{n-1}, \text{USRT}x_{n-1}), \rho(\text{Th}, \text{T}x_{n-1}), \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RT}x_{n-1}), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRT}x_{n-1})\} \\ &= c \max \{d(h, h), d(h, \text{USRTh}), d(h, \text{USRTh}), \rho(k, k), \sigma(p, p), \delta(q, q)\} \\ &= c d(h, \text{USRTh}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{USRTh} = h$ and h is fixed point of USRT .

In the same way it is obvious to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TUSR}(k) &= \text{TUSR}(\text{Th}) = \text{T}(\text{USRTh}) = \text{Th} = k, \\ \text{RTUS}(p) &= \text{RTUS}(\text{Rk}) = \text{R}(\text{TUSRk}) = \text{Rk} = p, \\ \text{SRTU}(q) &= \text{SRTU}(\text{Sp}) = \text{S}(\text{RTUSp}) = \text{Sp} = q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, k , p and q are fixed points of TUSR , RTUS and SRTU respectively.

Uniqueness of h

Suppose (hyp.) h' is a second fixed point of USRT and $h \neq h'$, so that

using (i)

$$\begin{aligned} d(h, h') &= d(\text{USRTh}, \text{USRTh}') \\ &\leq c \max \{d(h, h'), d(h, \text{USRTh}), d(h', \text{USRTh}'), \rho(\text{Th}, \text{Th}'), \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}')\} \\ &= c \max \{\rho(\text{Th}, \text{Th}'), \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}')\}, \end{aligned}$$

using (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\text{Th}, \text{Th}') &= \rho(\text{TUSR Th}, \text{TUSR Th}') \\ &\leq c \max \{\rho(\text{Th}, \text{Th}'), \rho(\text{Th}, \text{TUSRTh}), \rho(\text{Th}', \text{TUSRTh}'), \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), \\ &\quad d(\text{USRTh}, \text{USRTh}')\} \\ &= c \max \{\sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), d(h, h')\} \\ &= c \max \{\sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}')\} \end{aligned}$$

using (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}') &= \sigma(\text{RTUS RTh}, \text{RTUS RTh}') \\ &\leq c \max \{\sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTUSRTh}), \sigma(\text{RTh}', \text{RTUSRTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), \\ &\quad d(\text{USRTh}, \text{USRTh}'), \rho(\text{TUSRTh}, \text{TUSRTh}')\}, \\ &= c \max \{\delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), d(h, h'), \rho(\text{Th}, \text{Th}')\}, \\ &= c \max \{\delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), d(h, h')\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d(h, h') \leq c \cdot \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}')$

using (iv)

$$\begin{aligned} d(h, h') &\leq c \cdot \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}') \\ &= c \cdot \delta(\text{SRTU}(\text{SRTh}), \text{SRTU}(\text{SRTh}')) \\ &\leq c \cdot c \max \{\delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTU SRTh}), \delta(\text{SRTh}', \text{SRTU SRTh}'), d(\text{USRTh}, \text{USRTh}'), \\ &\quad \rho(\text{TUSRTh}, \text{TUSRTh}'), \sigma(\text{RTUSRTh}, \text{RTUSRTh}')\}, \\ &= c^2 \max \{\delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), d(h, h'), \rho(\text{Th}, \text{Th}'), \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}')\}, \\ &= c^2 d(h, h') \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq c < 1$, therefore $h = h'$.

Similarly we can show the uniqueness of k , p and q .

Now, we show $Uq = h$. Writing $Uq = U(\text{SRTU}q) = \text{USRT}(Uq)$.

So, Uq is fixed point of USRT . But h is unique fixed point of USRT therefore $Uq = h$ ■

Theorem 2.2 - Let (X, d) , (Y, ρ) , (Z, σ) and (W, δ) be four complete metric spaces. If four continuous mappings $T: X \rightarrow Y$, $R: Y \rightarrow Z$, $S: Z \rightarrow W$ and $U: W \rightarrow X$ satisfying the inequalities:

- (i) $d(\text{USRT}x, \text{USR}y) \leq c \max \{d(x, \text{USRT}x), d(x, \text{USR}y), \rho(y, \text{T}x), \sigma(\text{R}y, \text{RT}x), \delta(\text{SR}y, \text{SRT}x)\}$,
- (ii) $\rho(\text{TUSR}y, \text{TUS}z) \leq c \max \{\rho(y, \text{TUSR}y), \rho(y, \text{TUS}z), \sigma(z, \text{R}y), \delta(\text{S}z, \text{SR}y), d(\text{US}z, \text{USR}y)\}$,
- (iii) $\sigma(\text{RTUS}z, \text{RTU}w) \leq c \max \{\sigma(z, \text{RTUS}z), \sigma(z, \text{RTU}w), \delta(w, \text{S}z), d(\text{U}w, \text{US}z), \rho(\text{TU}w, \text{TUS}z)\}$,
- (iv) $\delta(\text{SRTU}w, \text{SRT}x) \leq c \max \{\delta(w, \text{SRTU}w), \delta(w, \text{SRT}x), d(x, \text{U}w), \rho(\text{T}x, \text{TU}w), \sigma(\text{RT}x, \text{RTU}w)\}$,

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $z \in Z$ and $w \in W$ where $0 \leq c < 1$. Then USRT , TUSR , RTUS and SRTU have unique fixed points h , k , p and q in X , Y , Z and W respectively. Further $\text{Th} = k$, $\text{Rk} = p$, $\text{Sp} = q$ and $Uq = h$.

Proof – Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Define a sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ in X , Y , Z and W respectively by

$$x_n = (\text{USRT})^n x_0, y_n = \text{T}x_{n-1}, z_n = \text{R}y_n, \text{ and } w_n = \text{S}z_n \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{1}$$

By using inequality (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a) } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &= d(\text{USRT}x_n, \text{USR}y_n) \\ &\leq c \max \{d(x_n, \text{USRT}x_n), d(x_n, \text{USR}y_n), \rho(y_n, \text{T}x_n), \sigma(\text{R}y_n, \text{RT}x_n), \delta(\text{SR}y_n, \text{SRT}x_n)\} \\ &= c \max \{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_n), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), \sigma(z_n, z_{n+1}), \delta(w_n, w_{n+1})\} \quad \text{[from (1)]} \\ &= c \max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n), \delta(w_{n-1}, w_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now applying inequality (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b) } \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= \rho(y_{n+1}, y_n) \\ &= \rho(\text{TUSR}y_n, \text{TUS}z_{n-1}) \\ &\leq c \max \{\rho(y_n, \text{TUSR}y_n), \rho(y_n, \text{TUS}z_{n-1}), \sigma(z_{n-1}, \text{R}y_n), \delta(\text{S}z_{n-1}, \text{SR}y_n), d(\text{US}z_{n-1}, \text{USR}y_n)\} \\ &= c \max \{\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), \rho(y_n, y_n), \sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n), \delta(w_{n-1}, w_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \quad \text{[from(1)]} \\ &= c \max \{\rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n), \delta(w_{n-1}, w_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

And similarly by (iii) and (iv), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c) } \sigma(z_n, z_{n+1}) &\leq c \max \{\sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n), \delta(w_{n-1}, w_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n)\}, \\ \text{(d) } \delta(w_n, w_{n+1}) &\leq c \max \{\delta(w_{n-1}, w_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n), \sigma(z_{n-1}, z_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

Now by induction the inequalities (a), (b), (c) and (d) are becomes as :

When $0 \leq c < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq c^{n-1} \max \{d(x_1, x_2), \rho(y_1, y_2), \sigma(z_1, z_2), \delta(w_1, w_2)\}, \\ \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq c^{n-1} \max \{\rho(y_1, y_2), \sigma(z_1, z_2), \delta(w_1, w_2), d(x_1, x_2)\}, \\ \sigma(z_n, z_{n+1}) &\leq c^{n-1} \max \{\sigma(z_1, z_2), \delta(w_1, w_2), d(x_1, x_2), \rho(y_1, y_2)\}, \\ \delta(w_n, w_{n+1}) &\leq c^{n-1} \max \{\delta(w_1, w_2), d(x_1, x_2), \rho(y_1, y_2), \sigma(z_1, z_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences with limits h , k , p and q respectively. We know that R and S are continuous, therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n &= h, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{R}y_n = \text{R}k = p, \end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{S}z_n = \text{S}p = q$.

Now taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $0 \leq c < 1$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, \text{USR } k) &= d(\text{USRT}x_n, \text{USR } k) \quad \text{[from (1)]} \\ &\leq c \max \{d(x_n, \text{USRT } x_n), d(x_n, \text{USR } k), \rho(k, \text{T}x_n), \sigma(\text{R}k, \text{RT}x_n), \delta(\text{SR}k, \text{SRT}x_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

we have, $d(h, \text{USR } k) \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \text{USR}k &= h, \\ \text{or } \text{US}p &= h, \\ \text{or } \text{U}q &= h \end{aligned}$$

Now by using(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y_{n+1}, \text{Th}) &= \rho(\text{TUSR } y_n, \text{T } \text{US}p) \\ &= \rho(\text{TUSR}y_n, \text{TUS}p) \\ &\leq c \max \{\rho(y_n, \text{TUSR}y_n), \rho(y_n, \text{TUS}p), \sigma(p, \text{R}y_n), \delta(\text{S}p, \text{SR}y_n), d(\text{US}p, \text{USR}y_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

When $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k, \text{Th}) &\leq 0, \\ \text{or } \text{Th} &= k \end{aligned}$$

we now have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{USRT}(h) &= \text{USR}(\text{Th}) = \text{USR}k = \text{US}p = \text{U}q = h, \\ \text{TUSR}(k) &= \text{TUSR}(\text{Th}) = \text{T}(\text{USRTh}) = \text{Th} = k, \\ \text{RTUS}(p) &= \text{RTUS}(\text{R}k) = \text{R}(\text{TUSR}k) = \text{R}k = p, \\ \text{SRTU}(q) &= \text{SRTU}(\text{S}p) = \text{S}(\text{RTUS}p) = \text{S}p = q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, h , k , p and q are fixed point of USRT , TUSR , RTUS and SRTU respectively.

Uniqueness of h

Suppose(hyp.) h' is a second fixed point of USRT and $h \neq h'$, so that **using (i)**

$$\begin{aligned} d(h, h') &= d(\text{USRTh}, \text{USRTh}') \\ &\leq c \max \{d(h, \text{USRTh}), d(h, \text{USRTh}'), \rho(\text{Th}', \text{Th}), \sigma(\text{RTh}', \text{RTh}), \delta(\text{SRTh}', \text{SRTh})\}, \\ &= c \max \{\rho(\text{Th}', \text{Th}), \sigma(\text{RTh}', \text{RTh}), \delta(\text{SRTh}', \text{SRTh})\}, \text{ using (ii)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\text{Th}', \text{Th}) &= \rho(\text{TUSR Th}', \text{TUSR Th}) \\ &= \rho(\text{TUSR Th}', \text{TUS RTh}) \\ &\leq c \max \{\rho(\text{Th}', \text{TUSRTh}), \rho(\text{Th}', \text{TUSRTh}), \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), d(\text{USRTh}, \text{USRTh}')\} \\ &= c \max \{\sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), d(h, h')\}, \\ &= c \max \{\sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}')\}, \end{aligned}$$

using (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTh}') &= \sigma(\text{RTUS RTh}, \text{RTUS RTh}') \\ &= \sigma(\text{RTUS RTh}, \text{RTU SRTh}') \\ &\leq c \max \{\sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTUSRTh}), \sigma(\text{RTh}, \text{RTU RTh}'), \delta(\text{SRTh}', \text{SRTh}), d(\text{USRTh}', \text{USRTh}), \\ &\quad \rho(\text{TUSRTh}', \text{TUSRTh})\}, \\ &= c \max \{\delta(\text{SRTh}', \text{SRTh}), d(h', h), \rho(\text{Th}', \text{Th})\}, \\ &= c \max \{\delta(\text{SRTh}', \text{SRTh}), d(h, h)\}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d(h, h') \leq c \cdot \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}')$

using (iv)

$$\begin{aligned} d(h, h') &\leq c \cdot \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}') \\ &= c \cdot \delta(\text{SRTU}(\text{SRTh}), \text{SRTU}(\text{SRTh}')) \\ &= c \cdot \delta(\text{SRTU}(\text{SRTh}), \text{SRT}(\text{USRTh}')) \\ &= c \cdot \delta(\text{SRTU}(\text{SRTh}), \text{SRT}(h')) \\ &\leq c \cdot c \max \{\delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTU SRTh}), \delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), d(h', \text{USRTh}), \rho(\text{Th}', \text{TUSRTh}), \sigma(\text{RTh}', \text{RTUSRTh})\} \\ &= c^2 \max \{\delta(\text{SRTh}, \text{SRTh}'), d(h', h), \rho(\text{Th}', \text{Th}), \sigma(\text{RTh}', \text{RTh})\}, \\ &= c^2 d(h', h) \\ &= c^2 d(h, h') \quad \text{[from } M_3] \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq c < 1$, therefore $h = h'$. Similarly we can show that the uniqueness of k , p and q ■

REFERENCES

1. R. K. Jain, H. K. Sahu and B. Fisher, "Related fixed point theorems for three metric spaces", *Novi Sad J. Math.* 26(1) (1996), pp. 11-17.
2. N. P. Nung, "A fixed point theorem in three metric spaces", *Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ.* 11(1983), pp. 77-79.